Form revised: December 6, 2011

## **2013 BUDGET LEGISLATION FISCAL NOTE**

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
SDOT	Bob Chandler/684-7595	Cameron Keyes 684-8048

#### **Legislation Title:**

AN ORDINANCE relating to the financing of the Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Program; amending Ordinance 123761 to increase the amount of an interfund loan.

## **Summary of the Legislation:**

This ordinance increases to \$13.7 million the Central Waterfront Improvement Fund Loan that was authorized in Ordinance 123761 for \$2.4 million.

## **Background:**

Ordinance 123761 authorized an interfund loan for the Central Waterfront Improvement Fund in the amount of \$2.4 million, which consisted of \$2.0 million in 2012 capital costs and \$400,000 in future interest costs. This legislation increases the amounts to \$11.5 million in capital costs and \$2.2 million in future interest costs to reflect the anticipated 2013 spending on design and other pre-construction activities. The interfund loan is expected to be revised again in 2014 to meet future updated cash flow requirements.

The loan from the Consolidated (Residual) Cash Pool to the Central Waterfront Improvement Fund is intended to pay for improvements that could be funded by a local improvement district. The City intends to form and confirm a local improvement district in 2014.

Please check one of the following:

#### This legislation does not have any financial implications.

(Please skip to "Other Implications" section at the end of the document and answer questions a-h. Earlier sections that are left blank should be deleted. Please delete the instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each question.)

## X This legislation has financial implications.

(If the legislation has direct fiscal impacts (e.g., appropriations, revenue, positions), fill out the relevant sections below. If the financial implications are indirect or longer-term, describe them in narrative in the "Other Implications" Section. Please delete the instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each title and question.)

#### **Appropriations:**

(This table should reflect appropriations that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the project/programs associated with this ordinance had, or will have, appropriations in other legislation please provide details in the Appropriation Notes section below. If the appropriation is not supported by revenue/reimbursements, please confirm that there is available fund balance to cover this appropriation in the note section.)

Christine Patterson SDOT Central Waterfront Improvement Fund FISC September 7, 2012 Version #2

Fund Name and Number	Department	Budget Control Level*	2012 Appropriation	2013 Anticipated Appropriation
N/A				
TOTAL				

<sup>\*</sup>See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

<u>Appropriations Notes</u>: Appropriations are included in the 2013 Proposed Budget and assumed in the 2013-2018 Proposed Capital Improvement Program.

#### **Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:**

(This table should reflect revenues/reimbursements that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the issues/projects associated with this ordinance/resolution have revenues or reimbursements that were, or will be, received because of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below the table.)

Fund Name and	Department	Revenue Source	2012	2013
Number			Revenue	Revenue
N/A				
TOTAL				

<u>Revenue/Reimbursement Notes</u>: This legislation does not authorize new revenues. Through its passage, the authority to borrow from the City's Consolidated (Residual) Cash Pool loan will be increased to \$13,700,000.

# Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through this Legislation, Including FTE Impact:

(This table should only reflect the actual number of positions affected by this legislation. In the event that positions have been, or will be, created as a result of other legislation, please provide details in the Notes section below the table.)

Position Title and Department	Position # for Existing Positions	Fund Name & #	PT/FT	2012 Positions	2012 FTE	2013 Positions*	2013 FTE*
N/A							
TOTAL							

<sup>\* 2013</sup> positions and FTE are <u>total</u> 2013 position changes resulting from this legislation, not incremental changes. Therefore, under 2013, please be sure to include any continuing positions from 2012.

## **Position Notes:**

N/A

#### Do positions sunset in the future?

(If yes, identify sunset date)

## Spending/Cash Flow:

(This table should be completed only in those cases where part or all of the funds authorized by this legislation will be spent in a different year than when they were appropriated (e.g., as in the case of certain grants and capital projects). Details surrounding spending that will occur in future years should be provided in the Notes section below the table.)

Fund Name & #	Department	Budget Control Level*	2012 Expenditures	2013 Anticipated Expenditures
TOTAL				

<sup>\*</sup> See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

## Spending/Cash Flow Notes:

N/A

## **Other Implications:**

- a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications? If a local improvement district is not formed for the purposes of this project, the City will need to repay the loan with other sources.
- b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?

  The City would likely incur higher borrowing costs if an interfund loan is not implemented.
- c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? No.
- d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?

The City could issue bonds for these expenditures. However, bonds will have higher finance costs and would have less flexibility than an interfund loan.

- e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation? No.
- f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?
  No.
- g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property? No.
- h) Other Issues: None

List attachments to the fiscal note below: None.